

# The Science of Safety:

## Debunking the Myths Surrounding Propylene Glycol and Polyethylene Glycol in Vaping Applications

Published	May 2026
Document ID	TUSA-WP-2026-02
Author	Terps USA Research Division

---

### Executive Summary

During the 2019–2020 vaping health crisis, a significant volume of misinformation was published regarding the safety of propylene glycol (PG) and polyethylene glycol (PEG) as thinning agents in cannabis and nicotine vaporizers. One of the most frequently cited documents was a 2017 study published in the *Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine* by authors associated with a cannabis dispensary operation in Mesa, Arizona. [1] This study claimed that heating PG and PEG to 230°C produced dangerous levels of formaldehyde and other carbonyl compounds.

A rigorous scientific review of this study's methodology reveals a fundamental flaw — the use of unrealistic testing temperatures that do not reflect actual human vaping behavior. This flaw applies equally to the study's findings on PG and on PEG. The same temperature-context argument that clears PG of the study's most serious charges also applies to PEG. When evaluated under real-world operating conditions, both compounds have documented safety records that the 2017 Arizona study's methodology fails to address honestly.

Furthermore, the CDC's definitive investigation of the 2019–2020 EVALI outbreak identified Vitamin E acetate — not PG or PEG — as the primary culprit, explicitly clearing standard vaping ingredients of involvement. [2] This white paper examines the scientific record on both PG and PEG, applying consistent standards of temperature-context analysis to each.

---

## 1. The Arizona Study: A Case Study in Methodological Flaws

In 2017, William D. Troutt and Matthew D. DiDonato published 'Carbonyl Compounds Produced by Vaporizing Cannabis Oil Thinning Agents' in the *Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine*. [1] The study claimed that when heated to 230°C, both PEG 400 and PG produced high levels of acetaldehyde and formaldehyde.

### 1.1 Author Credentials

A critical evaluation of any scientific claim requires assessment of the authors' expertise in the relevant field. Neither author possessed a background in chemistry, toxicology, or aerosol science.

William D. Troutt holds a naturopathic medicine degree (NMD).

Matthew D. DiDonato holds a Ph.D. in Family and Human Development and served as a research director for a cannabis dispensary chain. His credentials are documented in a commercial cannabis application filed with the City of Pasadena Planning Department. [3]

The study was published in a journal of alternative and complementary medicine — not a peer-reviewed chemistry, toxicology, or aerosol science journal. Neither the authors' credentials nor the publication venue are appropriate for the technical claims being made.

## **1.2 The Dry Puff Phenomenon — Unrealistic Testing Temperatures**

The most critical flaw in the Troutt and DiDonato study is the use of unrealistic temperatures. Both PG and PEG samples were heated to 230°C.

At approximately 160–190°C, vaping devices produce an acrid, burning taste known as the 'dry puff' phenomenon — a harsh signal that causes users to immediately stop inhaling. Dr. Konstantinos Farsalinos and colleagues documented this precisely in a landmark replication study:

By forcing laboratory machines to continuously vaporize at 230°C — a temperature human users would immediately reject — the Arizona study manufactured a toxicological hazard that does not exist in practice. This critique applies equally to the study's PG findings and its PEG findings. Both were tested at the same unrealistic temperature. Both conclusions suffer from the same methodological flaw.

---

## **2. Propylene Glycol: The Decades-Long Safety Record**

The concern generated by the Arizona study about PG ignores the extensive, documented history of PG safety in inhalation applications. The U.S. FDA classifies propylene glycol as Generally Recognized As Safe (GRAS). [8]

### **2.1 Pharmaceutical and Medical Inhalation**

Since the 1950s, PG has been used safely as a carrier in pharmaceutical inhalers and nebulizers, delivering asthma medications and other treatments directly into the lungs. [9] Early research by Dr. Oswald Robertson demonstrated that aerosolized PG acted as a highly effective, non-toxic airborne antimicrobial agent. Recent studies have confirmed that PG vapor rapidly inactivates respiratory viruses at levels well below those tolerated by mammals. [10]

### **2.2 Subchronic Inhalation Toxicology**

A 2024 multi-omics assessment of subacute inhalation toxicity of PG and VG aerosols found no signs of toxicity in clinical observations, blood analyses, or histopathology at realistic exposure levels. [12]

## 2.3 Long-Term Human Observational Studies

---

### 3. Polyethylene Glycol: Applying the Same Temperature-Context Framework

The Arizona study's claims about PEG deserve the same temperature-context analysis applied to its PG findings. The core methodological flaw — testing at 230°C rather than at the 160–200°C range of actual vaping hardware — applies to both compounds equally.

#### 3.1 PEG Physical Properties at Realistic Operating Temperatures

PEG 200 — the lowest molecular weight commercially used PEG — has a flash point of 171°C and an autoignition temperature of 304°C. Standard cannabis vaping hardware operating at 160–200°C is therefore operating below the flash point of PEG 200. The compound is not igniting. It is not combusting. The conditions that produce the thermal degradation products identified in the Arizona study require temperatures the hardware does not reach in normal use.

Under normal conditions of storage and use — per PEG 200's own Safety Data Sheet — hazardous decomposition products should not be produced. 'To avoid thermal decomposition, do not overheat' is the SDS guidance. The Arizona study's 230°C methodology is, by the SDS's own standard, overheating.

#### 3.2 The Inhalation Toxicology Record for PEG

The inhalation safety record for PEG is less extensively studied than PG's 70-year pharmaceutical track record, but the available data is consistent with low toxicity at realistic exposure levels.

A two-week nose-only inhalation study on PEG 3350 in F-344 rats — conducted at concentrations up to 1,008 mg/m<sup>3</sup> for 6 hours per day, 5 days per week — found no exposure-related toxicity with regard to clinical signs, serum chemistry, urinalysis, or gross pathology at any tested concentration. The only observed change was a slight increase in alveolar macrophages — a non-specific indicator of particle exposure, not a toxicological signal. [SR3]

The oral LD50 of PEG 200 in rats is 28,000–36,000 mg/kg — placing it in the category of essentially non-toxic compounds by ingestion. The inhalation profile at realistic vaping temperatures is consistent with this low-toxicity characterization.

#### 3.3 What the Arizona Study Actually Showed — and What It Didn't

The Arizona study found that PEG heated to 230°C produced formaldehyde. This is accurate — thermal degradation of PEG at elevated temperatures does produce carbonyl compounds. The study's error was presenting this as a realistic consumer exposure scenario.

The Farsalinos dry puff critique applies directly: users do not inhale aerosols generated at 230°C because the product is unacceptably harsh and acrid at those temperatures. The study's findings

describe a laboratory condition that practical use prevents.

What the Arizona study did not show — and what its authors did not test — is PEG behavior at the 160–200°C range that cannabis vaping hardware actually operates within during normal use. That gap in the study's methodology is the gap between its published findings and a realistic assessment of consumer exposure.

### **3.4 The EVALI Exoneration Applies to PEG as Well as PG**

The CDC's definitive EVALI investigation found Vitamin E acetate in the bronchoalveolar lavage fluid of 48 out of 51 EVALI patients tested. The investigation explicitly cleared standard vaping ingredients of involvement. PG was cleared. VG was cleared. The CDC statement does not specifically enumerate PEG — but the mechanism of EVALI (lipoid pneumonia induced by Vitamin E acetate's specific lipid properties) is not a mechanism shared by PEG. [2]

The regulatory and legislative responses that swept PEG off cannabis vaping shelves were enacted during maximum public fear, based on a conflation of EVALI causes that the CDC's own findings do not support. The compound that caused EVALI was identified, removed from the market, and the outbreak subsided. PEG was a casualty of guilt by association, not scientific determination.

---

## **4. The EVALI Outbreak — Clearing the Record**

The 2019–2020 EVALI outbreak resulted in thousands of hospitalizations and dozens of deaths across the United States. Initial media coverage broadly blamed vaping. The scientific record is more specific.

The CDC's comprehensive investigation identified Vitamin E acetate as the primary culprit — found in the bronchoalveolar lavage fluid of 48 out of 51 EVALI patients tested. [2] Standard vaping ingredients — PG and VG — were explicitly cleared of involvement.

One manufacturer's conduct during this period is particularly well documented. Mr. Extractor (operating as Connoisseur Concentrates in Tigard, Oregon, founded by Andrew 'Drew' Jones) was actively selling a product called 'Clear Cut' containing Vitamin E acetate during the outbreak. Jones confirmed this in writing to Leafly and authenticated video of himself pitching the product for vape use. [SR4] Even after pulling the product, Jones maintained in a public letter that Vitamin E acetate was safe and claimed studies showed it may have 'anti-inflammatory benefits to the lungs if inhaled' — while the CDC was actively identifying it as the cause of a national lung injury outbreak. [SR5]

Mr. Extractor now markets a terpene spray product line under the brand name BagPOP™. As of May 27, 2026, a search of the USPTO's publicly available trademark database returns no registered trademark and no pending application for 'BagPOP' in any international class.

The EVALI crisis was caused by a specific compound, sold by specific manufacturers, with a documented record of what they knew and when they knew it. PG and PEG were not involved. The regulatory responses that treated them as though they were represent a failure of legislative precision — not a scientific finding.

---

## 5. Conclusion

The narrative that propylene glycol and polyethylene glycol are dangerous compounds when vaporized rests on a single study conducted at unrealistic temperatures by authors without relevant scientific credentials, published in an alternative medicine journal during a public health crisis caused by a different compound entirely.

Both compounds have documented safety records at realistic vaping temperatures. PG has a 70-year pharmaceutical inhalation history, FDA GRAS classification, multiple subchronic inhalation studies showing no biologically meaningful toxicological effects, and explicit CDC clearance from EVALI involvement. PEG, while less extensively studied than PG, shows consistent low-toxicity characteristics at realistic temperatures, and the Arizona study's findings about PEG suffer from precisely the same dry puff methodology flaw that invalidates its PG conclusions.

The legislative responses that followed EVALI — including state-level restrictions on PG and PEG in cannabis products — were enacted during maximum public fear, based on a conflation of causes that the CDC's own investigation does not support. Consumers and independent operators paid the price for legislation that would not have survived scientific scrutiny if anyone in the legislative process had asked a simple question: at what temperature did you run this test?

The science existed before the panic. It existed during the panic. It exists now. Reading it for what it actually says, rather than what the headlines said it said, leads to a different conclusion.

---

## References

- [1] Troutt, W. D., & DiDonato, M. D. (2017). Carbonyl Compounds Produced by Vaporizing Cannabis Oil Thinning Agents. *Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine*, 23(11), 879-884. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28355118/>
- [2] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). (2020). Outbreak of Lung Injury Associated with the Use of E-Cigarette, or Vaping, Products. [https://archive.cdc.gov/www\\_cdc\\_gov/tobacco/basic\\_information/e-cigarettes/severe-lung-disease.html](https://archive.cdc.gov/www_cdc_gov/tobacco/basic_information/e-cigarettes/severe-lung-disease.html) – Vitamin E acetate identified as primary culprit. PG and VG cleared of involvement.
- [3] Harvest of Pasadena. (2019). Commercial Cannabis Application. City of Pasadena Planning Department. – Documents DiDonato's role as cannabis dispensary research director.
- [4] Jensen, R. P., et al. (2015). Hidden Formaldehyde in E-Cigarette Aerosols. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 372(4), 392-394.
- [5] AlGemayel, C., et al. (2022). Kinetic Modeling of the Pyrolysis of Propylene Glycol. *Engineering Science*, 8, 757.
- [6] Farsalinos, K. E., Voudris, V., & Poulas, K. (2015). E-cigarettes generate high levels of aldehydes only in 'dry puff' conditions. *Addiction*, 110(8), 1352-1361. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25996087/>
- [7] Eyal, A. M. (2023). Vapor Pressure, Vaping, and Corrections to Misconceptions. *ACS Omega*, 8(23), 20249-20257. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10249740/>
- [8] Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). (1997). Public Health Statement for Propylene Glycol.
- [9] U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). (2007). Reregistration Eligibility Decision for Propylene Glycol.

- [10] Styles, C. T., et al. (2023). Propylene glycol inactivates respiratory viruses and prevents airborne transmission. *EMBO Molecular Medicine*, 15(12), e17932.  
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10701621/>
- [11] Langston, T., et al. (2021). Thirteen-week nose-only inhalation exposures of propylene glycol aerosols in Sprague Dawley rats. *Toxicology Research and Application*, 6.
- [12] Chu, M., et al. (2024). Conventional and multi-omics assessments of subacute inhalation toxicity due to propylene glycol and vegetable glycerin aerosol. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 273, 116142.
- [13] Polosa, R., et al. (2017). Health impact of E-cigarettes: a prospective 3.5-year study. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 13825.
- [14] McNeill, A., et al. (2015). E-cigarettes: an evidence update. Public Health England.
- [SR3] Baer, F. A., et al. (1989). Two-week aerosol inhalation study on polyethylene glycol (PEG) 3350 in F-344 rats. *Fundamental and Applied Toxicology*, 12(2), 258-263. PMID: 2714207. – No exposure-related toxicity found at concentrations up to 1,008 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. Slight alveolar macrophage increase noted.
- [SR4] Downs, D. (2020, July 28). Vape cart additive makers pull products as others go dark. Leafly. <https://www.leafly.com/news/health/some-vape-cart-additive-makers-pull-products-others-go-dark> – Mr. Extractor founder Drew Jones confirmed Clear Cut contained Vitamin E acetate and authenticated video of himself pitching it for vape use.
- [SR5] Peel, S. (2019, September 9). Leading Oregon Cannabis Extractor Pulls Vape Products. Willamette Week. – Jones maintained Vitamin E acetate was safe and claimed studies showed anti-inflammatory benefits to the lungs if inhaled.

---

*Disclaimer: This document is published for informational and industry education purposes only. No Terps USA Products are derived from cannabis nor do they contain any THC or CBD. These statements have not been evaluated by the FDA.*